IAS Faculty Council
December 12, 2014

Date: December 12, 2014
Time: 12:30 – 2:00 pm
Location: JOY 109
Note: Highlight – indicated actions taken/Decisions made

Attendees/Invitees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>✔</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mary Hanneman</td>
<td>Chair (PPPA)</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Forman</td>
<td>Chair-elect (PPPA)</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Moore</td>
<td>Lecturer-at-Large</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loly Alcaide Ramirez</td>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amos Nascimento</td>
<td>PPPA</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Masura</td>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Montgomery</td>
<td>SBHS</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johann Reusch</td>
<td>SHS</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Greengrove</td>
<td>Ex-Officio</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie Becker</td>
<td>Associate Dean</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erica Cline</td>
<td>Chair of Chairs</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristina Powers</td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda

I. Approval of Notes
   a. November 14 Meeting
II. Reports: Division, Chairs, ELT (Kristina’s compilation)
III. Discuss: Research Requirements in Lecturer Ads
IV. Discuss: Course Requirements at the SIAS level
V. Vote: 1503 for Math Major
VI. Vote: By-laws re: timing of Division Chairs elections/appointments
VII. Discuss: IAS Faculty Fellows groups.

Discussion

I. Approval of Notes
   a. October 24 Meeting
      i. 10/24/2015 notes were not approved at last meeting because Faculty Council did not have a quorum
      ii. Notes were approved.
   b. November 14 Meeting
      i. Notes approved.
II. Reports: Division, Chairs, ELT
   a. Chair Update
      i. The division chairs have been discussing the need for additional support for chairs in the form of either additional course releases or longer summer salary.
      ii. Senior Lecturer Renewals
         1. Will this be addressed by the new lecturer promotion guidelines?
         2. The current process has some limitations.
      iii. ICC meeting
         1. Cross Divisional Conversations
            a. There may not be enough opportunities in our current process for developing new majors (especially at the developmental phase)
         2. “TESC” course requirement in other majors in IAS
            a. How do we adhere to our interdisciplinary mission within IAS when structuring our degree curricula?
   b. Lecturers
   c. SAM
      i. Interview for SAM Division Managers Complete. Committee is creating final report for SAM vote/support
      ii. Working on MSES admissions protocol/method
      iii. Working on strategic plan and hiring prospectus

III. Discuss: Research Requirements in Lecturer Ads
   a. Received comments about language of lecturer ads
      i. Questions about the following sections:
         1. “e) statement describing your research interests”
            a. “e” will be omitted
         2. “f) an article-length writing sample”
            a. Clarification needed for the type of writing sample
               i. Writing samples were discussed and it was decided that the sample can be of any kind
               ii. Adjust “article length” to “writing sample”
            b. It was discussed that lecturers evaluated by teaching and service
            c. There was discussion about if there is a disservice to the lecturer by not requiring writing sample and/or research. During the discussion it was noted that this could be by mentoring
            d. Scholarship teaching should be part of the discussion
            e. Last sentence (boiler plate language) is a concern. There is a request to omit research however Seattle has a statement w research

IV. Discuss: Course Requirements at the SIAS level
a. Should there be IAS wide requirements and should they enforced by majors
   i. Background:
      1. There are IAS areas of knowledge requirements;
      2. It was built in so that students would take one area of knowledge from IAS
      3. 20 credits from each area of knowledge
      4. General area of college of arts and science in Seattle is 15; minimum is 10
      5. Some majors have built them in but there is inconsistency
   ii. Discussion
      1. A decision as a faculty needs to be made about changing
      2. IAS level needs to decide if students should have 20 credits in each of the areas which would become an IAS requirement. It was expressed that it should come out of the majors.
      3. It was discussed that having this at IAS level to make sense
         a. 5 of credits of the 20 be from the campus
         b. Need to have exposure to interdisciplinary courses
   iii. Recommendation:
      1. A small team should draft a proposal/recommendation.
      2. Once FC reviews and agrees, it will be brought to the faculty for revision and eventual approval
   iv. Action items:
      1. J. Masura: audit of what each of the majors are currently doing
      2. M. Forman will speak with Heather and Karin about requirement distribution and will craft general draft for 1/16 mtg

V. Vote: 1503 for Math Major
   a. Concern about the process of the math major
      i. It was pointed out that it should have been sent out sooner to IAS faculty in the earliest stages however the major was ahead of planning prior to the policy that was approved and established
      ii. Policy needs to be added to chair catalyst page so all chairs are aware of the process
   b. Concerns:
      i. When should the major did have cross campus input however it is recommended: cross campus inclusion to be added to the process policy
      ii. Concern about use of space: computer lab space
      c. Recommendations made and noted by ICC chair; to be brought forth
      d. There was a move to approve with comments; the move was seconded; 1503 Math passed

VI. Vote: By-laws re: timing of Division Chairs elections/appointments
   a. There was discussion of timing of chair elections and the transition plan
   b. Chair to ask division chairs to review and discuss
   c. Bylaws will need to be changed to reflect end of year vs spring
      i. M. Forman to review bylaws and policy
VII. Discuss: IAS Faculty Fellows groups
   a. After some analysis a couple topics rose to the top:
      i. Teaching load class size
      ii. Departmentalization
      iii. Grade inflation
      iv. Request work load issue; seconded
      v. Strategic growth and planning; move
   b. There was a move to approve, the move was seconded, 1 vote no