

# TACOMA

# Background

## Significance

Proteins are folded via a process in which a polypeptide chain folds numerous times to produce a biologically active protein which, will present as a 3D structure. 3D structure proteins will be programmed using Ubuntu Virtual Box and put through a simulation to assess these behaviors and protein structure. This can aid in understanding biomolecule functions at the molecular level. This approach can be utilized to study structures from any organism. Further assessments and understanding of this can lead to advancements in medicine, giving the opportunity to help treat patients with a new approach.

## Objective:

- 1. Make protein models where the folded state is the lowest energy (native topology model).
- 2. Find temperature for transition state.
- 3. Compare the results of transition state with experimental assessments.

## Project Background

Due to its simplicity, Chymotrypsin Inhibitor 2 (CI2) is a special model of a protein. CI2 has only three important conformation states: (1) Folded states; (2) Unfolded states; and (3) transition states between the Folded and Unfolded states. The transition states are the least understood and most challenging to study.

Questions:

What is the structure of the transition state simulations in a native topology model?

How does this simulated structure compare to the experimental assessments?

### The Approach

All-atom structure (closer to reality)



Simple  $C_{\alpha}$  model (easier to simulate biological processes)



The all-atom structure is stripped down to a  $C_{\alpha}$  atom "skeleton" model" enabling the simulation of biologically-relevant processes such as protein folding.

# Ubuntu Programming to Simulate and Visualize Protein Structures

Kayla Nokeo and Dr. John M. Finke Division of Biomedical Sciences, SIAS, University of Washington Tacoma Tacoma, WA 98406

# Methods

### Define energy Terms "Force Field" or "Rules of the Universe"

 $E_{total} = E_{bond} + E_{angle} + E_{dihedral} + E_{LJ} + E_{rep}$ 



 $E_{\text{bonds}} = \sum_{\text{bonds}} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_r (r - r_0)^2$ 

 $E_{angle} = \sum_{angles} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\theta} (\theta - \theta_{0})^{2}$ 



 $E_{dihdedral} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 1} \left[ \epsilon_{\varphi}^{1} \left[ 1 - \cos(\varphi - \varphi_{0}) \right] + \epsilon_{\varphi}^{2} \left[ 1 - \cos(3(\varphi - \varphi_{0})) \right] \right]$ 











Step 2. Refolding (low temp)

- • • 0.8 0.4 0.2 <u>File Edit Data Plot View Window</u> Y = [1,14608, 0,676821] Grace: Console <u>F</u>ile <u>E</u>dit Options mber of observations wan of independent variable an of dependent variable andard dev. of ind. variable andard dev. of dep. variable AutoT AutoO ZX ZY AX AY PZ Pu Po Cy SD:1 CW:0 Exit tandard error of coefficient - value for coefficient egression constant (INTERCEPT) tandard error of constant - value for constant Analysis of variance Source d.f Sum of squares Mean Square F Regression 1 0.07188747 0.07188747 2 Residual 24 0.8324363 0.03468484 Total 25 0.9043237 0.6 - 0 00 0,32083 + 0,24843 \* > Regression of set O results to set 1

### Conclusion

The hypothesis is partially supported (experimental transition state structure is partially captured). There is an opportunity for improvement to obtain adequate results.

References:

- folding. J. Phys. Chem. B., Vol. 112, pp. 10417-10431.
- 260-288.



### **Results**

Figure 1.

Black squares represent the contacts between residues belonging to the crystal structure of CI2. Red squares represent the contacts of CI2 for the folded structure. Green squares are the contacts of CI2 in transition state. Blue represents the unfolded contacts. Important to note that squares shown are for contacts that are present more than 50% of the time. Overlapping squares are contacts that each structure has in common.



#### Figure 2.

Graph colors follow the same representation as in Figure . Points that are shown are averages of contact points within protein. It is easier to see what residues within CI2 have in common between conformation states.

Figure 3.

Correlation of Simulated Data in Figure 2 (green lines) with experimental assessment of transition state structure (phi-value analysis).2

Some agreement (slope = 0.25;  $r^2 = 0.28$ ). However, there is room for improvement.

1. Rao, M.K., Chapman, T.R. Finke J.M., (2008) Crystallographic B-factors highlight energetic frustration in aldolase

2. Itzhaki and Fersht (1995) The structure of the transition state for folding of chymotrypsin inhibitor 2 analyzed by protein engineering methods: evidence for a nucleation-condensation mechanism for protein folding. J. Mol. Biol., Vol. 254, pp.