Faculty Council Meeting
October 17, 2023 - 11:00 am-12:00 pm
WCG 322 or Zoom: https://washington.zoom.us/j/97666438717

## MINUTES

| Faculty Council Member | Capacity | Present (P), Absent (A), or <br> Recusal (X)1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ben Meiches | Faculty Council Chair | P |
| LeAnne Laux-Bachand | Vice Chair | P |
| Cassie Miura | CAC Representative | P |
| Jane Compson | PPPA Representative | P |
| Haley Skipper | SAM Representative | P |
| Leighann Chaffee | SBHS Representative | P |
| Tanya Velasquez | SHS Representative | P |
| Scott Rayermann | Teaching Faculty-at-Large (SAM) | A |
| Anna Groat-Carmona | Dean's Diversity Advisory Council representative (SAM) | P |
| Ex-Officio Members | Capacity | (P), (A), or (X) |
| Natalie Eschenbaum | Dean | P |
| Hyoung Suk Lee | Chair, Committee of Chairs | P |
| Kathleen Pike Jones | Assistant to the Dean | P |
| Non-Member Participant | Capacity | (P), (A), or (X) |
| Jessica Asplund | Director of Academic and Finance Operations | P |
| Jeremy Davis | Associate Dean of Programs \& Operations | A |
| Stephen Ross | Associate Dean of Faculty Development \& Student Support | P |
| Vanessa de Veritch Woodside | Associate Dean of Equity \& Inclusion | P |

## AGENDA

1. Introductions, Ground Rules, Land Acknowledgment (2 min)
2. Consent Agenda: Minutes (1 min)
3. RCEP Proposal ( 55 min )
4. Updates/For the Good of the Order (2 min)
5. Adjourn

## 1. Introductions, Ground Rules, Land Acknowledgment

a. Faculty Council Chair Ben Meiches called the meeting to order and the council took a moment to reflect on the SIAS Land Acknowledgment and Ground Rules before beginning the business of the meeting.
2. Consent Agenda
a. No objections to the agenda.
b. No objections to the minutes.
3. RCEP Proposal
a. Faculty Council Chair and/or Vice Chair have met with: Staff (10/5), CAC (10/6), PPPA (10/6), Environmental Science core faculty (10/9), DAC (10/9), SBHS (10/11), History major faculty, new faculty (10/12), EGL (10/13), Environmental Science full faculty (10/16); Meetings scheduled: SAM ( $10 / 18$ ).
b. Major Points of Feedback

1. Major workload and administrative inequities in current model between proposed departments (CAH and NSM essentially the same vs. SS change).
2. What additional compensation can we offer senior faculty for chairing?
3. Lack of consideration for the heavy costs of some curriculum and under-resourcing of successful programs.
4. Importance of retaining interdisciplinarity in majors.
5. Desire for majors to have autonomy - put faculty together who teach in similar areas.
6. Majors require strong leadership who can respond constructively and inclusively to all faculty in a discussion, but also push back against problematic behavior.
7. Revamping Center to bolster social justice vs. pulling curriculum out of the Center?
c. Amendment Process
8. Revise proposal in series of steps.
9. Few prepared amendments based on feedback.
10. Concentrate mainly on Center and number of departments.
11. Reserve a few minutes at the end to talk about Department Placement Form.
d. Proposed Amendment: Consent Changes
12. Resolved: The changes written into the proposal using track changes distributed on $10 / 13 / 23$ should be made permanent.
13. Ben moves to accept the consent changes in the document; Jane seconds; no discussion; all in favor.
e. Proposed Amendment: Faculty Council Chair and Vice Chair
14. Resolved: The proposal should mandate that the Faculty Council Chair and Vice Chair come from separate departments.
15. Ben moves to accept the mandate that the Faculty Council Chair and Vice Chair should come from separate departments; Haley seconds; no discussion; all in favor.
f. Proposed Amendment: DAC
16. Resolved: The Diversity Advisory Council should be written into the RCEP proposal as either a committee or standing committee of Faculty Council pending DAC's agreement at their $11 / 6 / 23$ meeting.
17. No vote as Anna not present at this time.
g. Proposed Amendment: Teaching Faculty-at-Large
18. Resolved: The position of teaching faculty-at-large should be included as a voting member in Faculty Council and member of Shared Leadership in the proposal.
19. No vote as Scott not present at this time.
h. Current Center / Department Proposal
20. Currently proposed departments: Natural Sciences \& Mathematics; Communication, Arts \& Humanities; Social Science \& Social Inquiry
21. Curriculum currently in the proposed Center: Majors: EGL, Environmental Sustainability, and IAS; Minors: American Indian Studies, Gender \& Sexuality Studies, Latino Studies, and Sustainability
22. We can remove the curriculum from the Center: Environmental Sustainability and Sustainability minor is easy move to NSM/SAM.
23. EGL, American Indian Studies, GSS, and Latino Studies could go in multiple places.
24. The Center could be for coordinating research, grant funding, sponsoring faculty projects and creative works.
25. There are still a lot of questions about the Center; it doesn't need to be part of the RCEP.
i. Three Departments:
26. Social Sciences \& Social Inquiry (Psychology, PPE, Law \& Policy, EPA, History)
27. Natural Sciences \& Mathematics (Biomed, Environmental Science, Environmental Sustainability, Mathematics)
28. Communication, Arts \& Humanities (AMC, Communication, Spanish Language \& Cultures, Writing Studies)
29. Pros: Senior faculty equity, parity of resources, and simple thematic divide.
30. Cons: Requires redeveloping administrative structure, artificially blends divisions without collective identity or shared structures.
j. Four Departments:
31. Natural Sciences \& Mathematics (Biomed, Environmental Science, Environmental Sustainability, Mathematics)
32. Communication, Arts \& Humanities (AMC, Communication, Spanish Language \& Cultures, Writing Studies)
33. Social \& Behavior Sciences (Psychology)
34. Politics, Economics \& Social Inquiry (PPE, Law \& Policy, EPA, History?)
35. Pros: Greater faculty autonomy, preserves existing identities for the most part, supports programs that have further developed/specified.
36. Cons: Potentially fewer resources for smaller departments; only one division completely recombines.
k. Funding for Departments
37. Four Departments: Max Course Release Cost: \$209,000; Admin Salary Cost: \$172,050; Additional admin support: \$19,800.
38. Three Departments: Max Course Release Cost: $\$ 192,500 ;$ Admin Salary Cost: \$158,900; Additional admin support: \$32,950.
39. Asks Not Yet in the RCEP (for Chancellor and EVCAA)
40. Chair compensation (addressing compression)
41. Return of STF with distribution to departments
42. Resources for the heavy burden of cross-campus teaching places on SIAS
43. Reconsider "negative subsidy" place on SIAS for other UWT Schools
44. Chairs play more substantive role in Academic Affairs discussions
m . We need to have acceptance or modifications to the proposal before we present it to the faculty at this Friday's faculty meeting.
45. Environmental Sustainability faculty want to remain within SAM.
46. Cassie moves that we remove the curriculum from the Center; Ben seconds; all in favor.
47. Ben moves that we move the Environmental Sustainability major to NSM/SAM; Haley seconds; all in favor.
48. Ben calls the question and moves that we support the Three Department model; Haley seconds; Yes: 5; No: 2; Abstain: 1.
49. Ben moves that EGL, American Indian Studies, GSS, and Latino Studies move to CAH/CAC; Jane seconds; Yes: 4; No: 1; Abstain: 3. We need more discussion on where to move them.
50. Ben moves that we put Latino Studies in CAH/CAC; Anna seconds; Yes: 4; No: 0; Abstain: 4. More discussion needed.
51. We should put the minors where most of the faculty live; we didn't look at the minors over the summer.
52. Ben moves that we put EGL, American Indian Studies, GSS, and Latino Studies into the Social Sciences for now; Cassie seconds; Yes: 5; No: 2; Abstain: 1 . This is provisional and we will revise this after consultation with the faculty.
53. Ben moves that we remove the Center from the RCEP proposal; Jane seconds; Yes: 6; No: o; Abstain 2.
54. Departmental Placement Form
a. Hypothetically send out $10 / 23-10 / 24$ with a due date of $11 / 10$
b. Identifies field affiliation and curriculum as basis for changing
c. These could be evidenced in several ways:
55. Curriculum: Classes historically taught or in development, courses listed in a major.
56. Field: Publications, areas of future research, grants, and explanation of relation to research of colleagues in a proposed department.
57. Updates/For the Good of the Order
58. Adjournment
a. The meeting was adjourned at 12:13 pm.
