Faculty Council Meeting

October 17, 2023 — 11:00 am-12:00 pm

WCG 322 or Zoom: https://washington.zoom.us/j/97666438717

MINUTES

Faculty Council Member	Capacity	Present (P), Absent (A), or
		Recusal (X)1
Ben Meiches	Faculty Council Chair	P
LeAnne Laux-Bachand	Vice Chair	P
Cassie Miura	CAC Representative	P
Jane Compson	PPPA Representative	P
Haley Skipper	SAM Representative	P
Leighann Chaffee	SBHS Representative	P
Tanya Velasquez	SHS Representative	P
Scott Rayermann	Teaching Faculty-at-Large (SAM)	A
Anna Groat-Carmona	Dean's Diversity Advisory Council representative (SAM)	P
Ex-Officio Members	Capacity	(P), (A), or (X)
Natalie Eschenbaum	Dean	P
Hyoung Suk Lee	Chair, Committee of Chairs	P
Kathleen Pike Jones	Assistant to the Dean	P
Non-Member Participant	Capacity	(P), (A), or (X)
Jessica Asplund	Director of Academic and Finance Operations	P
Jeremy Davis	Associate Dean of Programs & Operations	A
Stephen Ross	Associate Dean of Faculty Development & Student Support	P
Vanessa de Veritch Woodside	Associate Dean of Equity & Inclusion	P

AGENDA

- 1. Introductions, Ground Rules, Land Acknowledgment (2 min)
- 2. Consent Agenda: Minutes (1 min)
- 3. RCEP Proposal (55 min)
- 4. Updates/For the Good of the Order (2 min)
- 5. Adjourn

1. Introductions, Ground Rules, Land Acknowledgment

a. Faculty Council Chair Ben Meiches called the meeting to order and the council took a moment to reflect on the SIAS Land Acknowledgment and Ground Rules before beginning the business of the meeting.

2. Consent Agenda

- a. No objections to the agenda.
- b. No objections to the minutes.

3. RCEP Proposal

- a. Faculty Council Chair and/or Vice Chair have met with: Staff (10/5), CAC (10/6), PPPA (10/6), Environmental Science core faculty (10/9), DAC (10/9), SBHS (10/11), History major faculty, new faculty (10/12), EGL (10/13), Environmental Science full faculty (10/16); Meetings scheduled: SAM (10/18).
- b. Major Points of Feedback
 - 1. Major workload and administrative inequities in current model between proposed departments (CAH and NSM essentially the same vs. SS change).
 - 2. What additional compensation can we offer senior faculty for chairing?

- 3. Lack of consideration for the heavy costs of some curriculum and under-resourcing of successful programs.
- 4. Importance of retaining interdisciplinarity in majors.
- 5. Desire for majors to have autonomy—put faculty together who teach in similar areas.
- 6. Majors require strong leadership who can respond constructively and inclusively to all faculty in a discussion, but also push back against problematic behavior.
- 7. Revamping Center to bolster social justice vs. pulling curriculum out of the Center?

c. Amendment Process

- 1. Revise proposal in series of steps.
- 2. Few prepared amendments based on feedback.
- 3. Concentrate mainly on Center and number of departments.
- 4. Reserve a few minutes at the end to talk about Department Placement Form.

d. Proposed Amendment: Consent Changes

- 1. Resolved: The changes written into the proposal using track changes distributed on 10/13/23 should be made permanent.
- 2. Ben moves to accept the consent changes in the document; Jane seconds; no discussion: all in favor.

e. Proposed Amendment: Faculty Council Chair and Vice Chair

- 1. Resolved: The proposal should mandate that the Faculty Council Chair and Vice Chair come from separate departments.
- 2. Ben moves to accept the mandate that the Faculty Council Chair and Vice Chair should come from separate departments; Haley seconds; no discussion; all in favor.

f. Proposed Amendment: DAC

- 1. Resolved: The Diversity Advisory Council should be written into the RCEP proposal as either a committee or standing committee of Faculty Council pending DAC's agreement at their 11/6/23 meeting.
- 2. No vote as Anna not present at this time.

g. Proposed Amendment: Teaching Faculty-at-Large

- 1. Resolved: The position of teaching faculty-at-large should be included as a voting member in Faculty Council and member of Shared Leadership in the proposal.
- 2. No vote as Scott not present at this time.

h. Current Center / Department Proposal

- 1. Currently proposed departments: Natural Sciences & Mathematics; Communication, Arts & Humanities; Social Science & Social Inquiry
- 2. Curriculum currently in the proposed Center: Majors: EGL, Environmental Sustainability, and IAS; Minors: American Indian Studies, Gender & Sexuality Studies, Latino Studies, and Sustainability
- 3. We can remove the curriculum from the Center: Environmental Sustainability and Sustainability minor is easy move to NSM/SAM.
- 4. EGL, American Indian Studies, GSS, and Latino Studies could go in multiple places.
- 5. The Center could be for coordinating research, grant funding, sponsoring faculty projects and creative works.
- 6. There are still a lot of questions about the Center; it doesn't need to be part of the RCEP.

i. Three Departments:

- 1. Social Sciences & Social Inquiry (Psychology, PPE, Law & Policy, EPA, History)
- 2. Natural Sciences & Mathematics (Biomed, Environmental Science, Environmental Sustainability, Mathematics)
- 3. Communication, Arts & Humanities (AMC, Communication, Spanish Language & Cultures, Writing Studies)
- 4. Pros: Senior faculty equity, parity of resources, and simple thematic divide.

- 5. Cons: Requires redeveloping administrative structure, artificially blends divisions without collective identity or shared structures.
- j. Four Departments:
 - 1. Natural Sciences & Mathematics (Biomed, Environmental Science, Environmental Sustainability, Mathematics)
 - 2. Communication, Arts & Humanities (AMC, Communication, Spanish Language & Cultures, Writing Studies)
 - 3. Social & Behavior Sciences (Psychology)
 - 4. Politics, Economics & Social Inquiry (PPE, Law & Policy, EPA, History?)
 - 5. Pros: Greater faculty autonomy, preserves existing identities for the most part, supports programs that have further developed/specified.
 - 6. Cons: Potentially fewer resources for smaller departments; only one division completely recombines.
- k. Funding for Departments
 - 1. Four Departments: Max Course Release Cost: \$209,000; Admin Salary Cost: \$172,050; Additional admin support: \$19,800.
 - 2. Three Departments: Max Course Release Cost: \$192,500; Admin Salary Cost: \$158,900; Additional admin support: \$32,950.
- 1. Asks Not Yet in the RCEP (for Chancellor and EVCAA)
 - 1. Chair compensation (addressing compression)
 - 2. Return of STF with distribution to departments
 - 3. Resources for the heavy burden of cross-campus teaching places on SIAS
 - 4. Reconsider "negative subsidy" place on SIAS for other UWT Schools
 - 5. Chairs play more substantive role in Academic Affairs discussions
- m. We need to have acceptance or modifications to the proposal before we present it to the faculty at this Friday's faculty meeting.
 - 1. Environmental Sustainability faculty want to remain within SAM.
 - 2. Cassie moves that we remove the curriculum from the Center; Ben seconds; all in favor.
 - 3. Ben moves that we move the Environmental Sustainability major to NSM/SAM; Haley seconds; all in favor.
 - 4. Ben calls the question and moves that we support the Three Department model; Haley seconds; Yes: 5; No: 2; Abstain: 1.
 - 5. Ben moves that EGL, American Indian Studies, GSS, and Latino Studies move to CAH/CAC; Jane seconds; Yes: 4; No: 1; Abstain: 3. We need more discussion on where to move them.
 - 6. Ben moves that we put Latino Studies in CAH/CAC; Anna seconds; Yes: 4; No: 0; Abstain: 4. More discussion needed.
 - 7. We should put the minors where most of the faculty live; we didn't look at the minors over the summer.
 - 8. Ben moves that we put EGL, American Indian Studies, GSS, and Latino Studies into the Social Sciences for now; Cassie seconds; Yes: 5; No: 2; Abstain: 1. This is provisional and we will revise this after consultation with the faculty.
 - 9. Ben moves that we remove the Center from the RCEP proposal; Jane seconds; Yes: 6; No: 0; Abstain 2.
- 6. Departmental Placement Form
 - a. Hypothetically send out 10/23-10/24 with a due date of 11/10
 - b. Identifies field affiliation and curriculum as basis for changing
 - c. These could be evidenced in several ways:
 - 1. Curriculum: Classes historically taught or in development, courses listed in a major.
 - 2. Field: Publications, areas of future research, grants, and explanation of relation to research of colleagues in a proposed department.

- 7. Updates/For the Good of the Order
- 8. Adjournment a. The meeting was adjourned at 12:13 pm.