## MINUTES

| Faculty Council Member | Capacity | Present (P), Absent (A), or <br> Recusal (X)1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ben Meiches | Faculty Council Chair | P |
| LeAnne Laux-Bachand | Vice Chair | P |
| Cassie Miura | CAC Representative | P |
| Libi Sundermann | PPPA Representative | P |
| Haley Skipper | SAM Representative | P |
| Amanda Sesko | SBHS Representative | P |
| Cynthia Howson | SHS Representative | P |
| Scott Rayermann | Lecturer at Large (SAM) | P |
| Anna Groat-Carmona | Dean's Diversity Advisory Council representative (SAM) | P |
| Ex-Officio Members | Capacity | (P), (A), or (X) |
| Natalie Eschenbaum | Dean | P |
| Hyoung Suk Lee | Chair, Committee of Chairs | P |
| Kathleen Pike Jones | Assistant to the Dean | P |
| Non-Member Participant | Capacity | (P), (A), or (X) |
| Jessica Asplund | Director of Academic and Finance Operations | P |
| Jeremy Davis | Associate Dean of Programs \& Operations | A |
| Stephen Ross | Associate Dean of Faculty Development \& Student Support | A |
| Vanessa de Veritch Woodside | Associate Dean of Equity \& Inclusion | P |

## AGENDA

1. Introductions, Ground Rules, Land Acknowledgment, Agenda (2 min)
2. Consent Agenda: Minutes (1 min)
3. $2 / 2$ Faculty Meeting Agenda ( 5 min )
4. Time Limits in Faculty Meeting Discussions (5 min)
5. Chair and Vice Chair Terms ( 5 min )
6. RCEP ( 35 min )
7. Updates/For the Good of the Order (2 min)
8. Adjournment
9. Introductions, Ground Rules, Land Acknowledgment
a. Faculty Council Chair Ben Meiches called the meeting to order and the council took a moment to reflect on the SIAS Land Acknowledgment and Ground Rules before beginning the business of the meeting.
b. Cynthia Howson is representing SHS today; they will have alternating reps for this quarter.
c. Libi Sundermann is representing PPPA.
10. Consent Agenda
a. No objections to the agenda.
b. No objections to the minutes of the January 16, 2024 meeting.
11. 2/2 Faculty Meeting Agenda
a. No objections to the Faculty Meeting agenda.
12. Time Limits in Faculty Meeting Discussions
a. Some faculty members have asked the Faculty Council Chair if we should have time limits for faculty meeting discussions.
13. Benefits: More inclusive, allowing more perspectives, ensuring germaneness in discussion, respecting time.
14. Drawbacks: Limits complex discussion, reduces individual faculty voice/opportunity
15. In Robert's Rules each person is allowed ten minutes to speak to a motion and then an additional ten minutes after everyone else who wants to speak has spoken.
16. Is there a way to table things that aren't relevant to the current motion?
17. We should remind everyone of our ground rules.

## 5. Chair and Vice Chair Limits

a. SIAS division chairs aren't chairs in the sense of the Faculty Code or Executive Orders; Executive Order 1 defines the role of a chair and indicates they report to the dean.
b. Bylaws Language: "Elections of Chair shall take place in the Spring quarter of the third year of service of a Chair and be carried out by secret ballot . . . the Chair shall serve for a term of three years with a term to begin on September 1st"; this is "subject to the approval of the Dean"; "the term of the office of the assistant Chair shall be one year."
c. The responsibilities of the chairs will change with restructuring.
d. Chair compensation is usually handled at the provost level, but we don't have a clear definition of chairs at UWT.
6. RCEP Feedback
a. The process needs to ensure that people can vote against the RCEP process.
b. We need to keep the current promotion process until new bylaws and criteria are in place.
c. We should remove the veto from the faculty governance section of the RCEP and just require a majority to affirm the policy.
d. All governance bodies will need to be reelected after restructuring.
e. Many faculty know what they want for themselves, but don't know what to do for others.
f. Faculty voted against the proposed 3-Department model, but some would like it included in the final voting option.
g. Does the Urban Studies leadership transition change anything for SIAS?
h. Will Faculty Council submit previously circulated models?
i. A minimum of three models will be submitted.
j. A majority of CAC members support a 4-Department model.
k. Ben sent out the models that were shared at the December 8, 2023 faculty meeting.
l. We will need to create a process to see where faculty can/will go.
m . Each model needs someone to advocate for it; show the strengths and weaknesses and how it furthers the mission of SIAS.
n. Faculty Council already put forward a model that was a result of many conversations and consultation.
o. There was a desire to see and consider more options.
p. The survey needs a keep the status quo option.
q. Motions for which RCEP models Faculty Council should submit:

1. The original 3-Department model: Ben moves to include; Scott Rayermann seconds; [Yes: 7; No: 0; Abstain: 2].
2. 5-Department model: Ben moves to include; Libi Sundermann seconds; [Yes: 1; No: 3; Abstain: 4]
3. 4-Department model-D (CAC with EGL); Ben moves to include; Amanda Sesko seconds; [Yes: 2; No: 2; Abstain: 2]
4. 4-Department-C (EGL separate); Ben moves to include; Cynthia Howson seconds; all abstain.
5. 4-Department model-B (EGL in PPPA); Ben moves to include; Amanda Sesko seconds; [Yes: 2; No: 2; Abstain: 3]
6. 4-Department model-A (EGL in SBHS); Ben moves to include; Scott Rayermann seconds; [Yes: 5; No: o; Abstain: 2]
7. Updates/For the Good of the Order
a. We will discuss the promotion process next week.
b. The World Languages Taskforce has been created with Loly Alcaide Ramirez, Augie Machine, Vanessa de Veritch Woodside, and Emily Ignacio.
8. Adjournment
a. The meeting was adjourned at $1: 32 \mathrm{pm}$.
