Review Agenda and Minutes
We may invite leadership to future SPCC meetings it would be topic-specific and interactive.

Updates
Co-champion Updates
- Equity Data Working Group
  The EDWG has been doing intense work with cross-campus engagement and their website is updated regularly.
- Student Success Council
  The SSC is at the implementation level with three workgroups: High Impact Practices, Pathways Through College, and Assessing Student Success.
    o Erica spoke for the HIPs Workgroup which has discussed a hub and spokes model with the workgroup as the hub and HIPs as the spokes. They are planning a campus-level survey within the next month (tentative) to pinpoint which HIPs should be prioritized. They will be applying for funding this year for Community of Practice to support and encourage commitment from the people involved long-term, developing definitions for our campus and rubrics for assessment, and inventorying what is currently happening on campus which may be possible through NSSE surveys.
- Carnegie Classification
  Linda Ishem and Lisa Isozaki went to a workshop in Vancouver focused on institutions thinking about applying for Carnegie Classification which amounts to a checkmark verifying that you are classified as being community-engaged. The application for 2020 classification comes out in a month with a deadline of April 2019 and the classification will have a 10-year expiration. Linda and Lisa met with Chancellor Pagano about the level of commitment which would be huge, relying on resources such as peoples’ time, workgroups, HIPs, common definitions, priorities, and the infrastructure being in place to support this. When we apply we have to defend what we have in place and what we’re already doing, rather than what we hope to be doing. We must have something like a Center for Community Engagement already in place and backup documentation showing we’re already doing community-engaged work. Do we have that level of commitment? We have examples of successful applications so we know what it will look like next round. Several members of the Cabinet also have experience with Carnegie designation through which exclusive grants are pursuable. Western has had the
designation for 10 years and hasn’t utilized it within that time. Laying the groundwork by having infrastructure, programs, and commitment to community engagement is the immediate benefit. Creating a culture of community engagement is work we’ve been doing as part of the strategic planning process. Even if we aren’t applying, we can use the template for this designation which is well-tested and not one size fits all. Going through the process will advance community engagement. All three campuses are applying for the classification with Seattle and Bothell being strong candidates.

SIF Updates
- Assessment comments sent out
  - The Vendor Symposium is on hold due to Susanne Blaise leaving. Bob Hardie has stepped up.
  - The Constructive Dialog pilot went well and we will be expanding workshops with Chancellor’s Cabinet exercises in positive dialogue.
  - Lauren spoke for Learning Commons which is on hold while they get through searches. Once that is complete, they will be able to move forward.
  - Case Management has a new name.
  - COIL Recipients is up and running with a student-led Peer Language Institute. The first year has $25k fully allocated for 6 projects.
- Interim Reports due January 31.

Other
- Lightning Talks (Culture and Students)
- Culture Lightning Talks will take place on February 26th and Student Lighting Talks will take place on March 8th. Presenters will talk about perspectives in student learning and student success, Culture and Equity will be meeting with the Staff Association and a tri-campus survey will be administered next year.
- The Collaborative Publicly Engaged Scholarship (CPES) Awards Presentation will take place on January 18th at 12:30pm. There will be 6 awardees.
- Connecting Students to Committees
- Emmett and Bonnie had a good conversation about compensation and connecting students. The goal is to officially connect and establish a system, potentially with a centralized application point.
- Comparable institutions and benchmarking
- Bonnie would like a partner. Erica recommended connecting with the Assessment group in the SSC and Data Equity for thought partners. Linda would like to have a conversation about where scholarship fits in.
- The IR Group has a strategic plan.

Discussion of New Structure for SPCC
Based on the SPCC meeting less frequently with most of the work taking place between meetings, co-champions.
- Co-Champions – support implementation and guide assessment of Impact Goals across campus
- SIF Liaisons—provide feedback on activities and assessment, champion and communicate
  Based on us meeting less frequently with most of the work taking place between meetings with Co-Champions supporting implementation and guide assessment of Impact Goals across campus
- Communicate, Advocate, Connect, Monitor, Operationalize
  People appointed to the SPCC by the Chancellor already have a role specific to their Co-Champion work. We are all campus representatives, providing communication between constituents and the SPCC/Strategic Plan.
  Students will be brought in once we can better define their roles within the SPCC.
- Ad Hoc SIF Award Committee
  We could create policies, though this work will not be within this group.
- ACSA—Leadership, Assessment and Reporting, Project Management, Communication
- Meet as SPCC 2-3x per quarter
- Meet as Co-champions more frequently (also with ACSA)
- Goal Work Plan to get to Dashboard
Create term limits for at-large co-champions
Frequency of interface with leadership?
Committee Co-Chair?
Organize the group and help with planning and conceptualization.

Winter Work Plan
Overall Goal:
• Measurement and Assessment—Dashboard Creation
• How to get from here to there:
• Different for every Impact Goal! Some approaches include:
  • Form an advisory group
  • Work with an existing advisory group
  • Work with an existing office
  • Work with ACSA/IR in a consulting role
Additional Goals:
• Brainstorm more events for spring
• Connecting campus with how the plan connects with their work
  Find ways for us to get peers to celebrate one another and those who are getting things done.
• Lightning talks: Growth and Scholarship (but lots of related events already)
• SIF Liaisons to continue to champion and support the SIFs
• Review reports that come in January
• Approach to SIF 2.0

Roundtable
Next meeting: February 26, 2018
Individual meetings with co-champions
Winter quarter goals: Come together with campus leadership for a purposeful discussion about the budget.

Upcoming Meetings and Events
• February 26, 2018, 2-4pm, CP 303
Agenda

> Review Agenda and Minutes
> Updates
> Discussion of new structure for SPCC
> Winter Workplan for SPCC
> Round table for final thoughts
Updates

> Co-champion updates
  - Equity Data Working Group
  - Student Success Council
  - Carnegie Classification

> SIF updates
  - Assessment comments sent out
  - Annual Reports due January 31

> Other
  - Lightning Talks (Culture and Students)
  - Connecting Students to Committees
  - Comparable institutions and benchmarking
# SIF Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Project Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Vendor Symposium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Arts Building Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Scholarship Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Constructive Dialog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Community Engaged Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Street Law and Urban Debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Learning Commons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Student Dreams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Case Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Global Learning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COIL Recipients

> Andrea Hill
> Elizabeth Bruch
> Ellen Moore
> Annie Nguyen
> Nicole Blair
> Margaret Griesse
> Jacob Martens
> Jane Compson
> Cassie Miura
## CPES Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karen Cowgill and Nathalie Jolly</td>
<td>SIAS</td>
<td>A qualitative study to describe pregnancy experiences of African American women in Pierce County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Emlet</td>
<td>Social Work and Criminal Justice</td>
<td>Building an Age-Friendly Puyallup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa de Veritch Woodside and Rachel Hershberg</td>
<td>SIAS</td>
<td>Displacement, Detention, and Integration of Immigrants and Refugees in the South Sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyhab Al- Masri</td>
<td>Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Transforming Computing Education using Experimental Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaid Yerena</td>
<td>Urban Studies</td>
<td>Shaping Student Perceptions of Homelessness: The Role of a Publicly Engaged Course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Tolentino and Marcy Stein</td>
<td>Institute of Technology and Education</td>
<td>Smart Bookmarks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upcoming Events

> Lightning Talks in Winter
  – Culture: February 26, 12:30 to 1:30, Lucien Room
  – Students: March 8, 4 to 5, Lucien Room

> CPES Presentations
  – January 18, 12:30-1:30, TLB 307b
Agenda

> Review Agenda and Minutes
> Updates
> Discussion of new structure for SPCC
> Winter Workplan for SPCC
> Round table for final thoughts
New Structure

>- Co-Champions—support implementation and guide assessment of Impact Goals across campus
  - Communicate, Advocate, Connect, Monitor, Operationalize

>- Campus Representatives—provide for two way communication between their constituents and the SPCC/plan
  - Communicate, Advocate, Connect
New Structure

> SIF Liaisons—provide feedback on activities and assessment, champion and communicate
  – Communicate, Advocate, Connect, Troubleshoot
> Ad Hoc SIF Award Committee

> ACSA—Leadership, Assessment and Reporting, Project Management, Communication
New Structure

> Meet as SPCC 2-3x per quarter
> Meet as Co-champions more frequently (also with ACSA)
  > Goal Work Plan to get to Dashboard
> Create term limits for at-large co-champions

> Frequency of interface with leadership?

> Committee Co-Chair?
Winter Work Plan

> Overall Goal:
  – Measurement and Assessment—Dashboard Creation

> How to get from here to there:
  – Different for every Impact Goal! Some approaches include:
    – Form an advisory group
    – Work with an existing advisory group
    – Work with an existing office
    – Work with ACSA/IR in a consulting role
Winter Work Plan

> Additional Goals:
  – Brainstorm more events for spring
    > Connecting campus with how the plan connects with their work
    > Lightning talks: Growth and Scholarship (but lots of related events already)
  – SIF Liaisons to continue to champion and support the SIFs
    > Review reports that come in January
  – Approach to SIF 2.0
Roundtable

> Next meeting:
> Individual meetings with co-champions
Five Finger Consensus

5-Finger Consensus

1st Vote

2nd Vote

3rd Vote: Majority Rules

- 5 – Strongly agree
- 4 – Agree
- 3 – Will go with group’s decision
- 2 – Disagree
- 1 – Strongly disagree and can’t support